
SUPPLEMENTARY REPORT

TABLE OF CONTENTS

VOLUME 1

- 1. More than 10,000 Queensland citizens who submitted formal submissions on the original EIS are direct stakeholders in this document.**
- 2. These people deserve to see the proponent's response to their concerns about the project BEFORE an approval decision is made.**
- 3. Many stakeholders are worried that the supplementary EIS document may not accurately address the issues raised in their submissions to the original EIS.**
- 4. Some stakeholders are worried that their dealings with the proponent on matters referred to in the supplementary EIS document may not be accurately represented.**
- 5. The only way in which the Coordinator General can be sure that these events have not occurred is to allow stakeholders to check the accuracy of the statements made by the proponent in the document.**
- 6. The Queensland Government has made a recent commitment to open and transparent government, yet insists on maintaining a deliberate practice of secrecy when it comes to allowing the public access to information in which there is an overwhelming level of direct public interest.**
- 7. What is there to hide in this document?**
- 8. What is there to be ashamed about in this document?**
- 9. What false statements are in this document?**
- 10. What is there to be embarrassed about in this document?**
- 11. What is unfinished or inadequate about this document?**
- 12. What mistakes or misuses of statistics are in this document?**
- 13. What faulty conclusions are drawn in document?**
- 14. What deliberately misleading graphs are there in this document?**
- 15. Why is the Government not confident enough about the contents of this document to release it to the public- what is wrong with it?**